

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/997,677

APPLICATION NO.

12/23/97

WALKER

J

WD2-97-324

PM92/1214 T

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT WALKER DIGITAL CORPORATION . ONE HIGH RIDGE PARK STAMFORD CT 06905 EXAMINER

ISSING, G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3662

12

DATE MAILED:

12/14/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/997,677	WALKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory C. Issing	3662
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status 		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December 1999</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:		
1. received.		
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)		
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).		
Attachment(s)		
14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	18) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/997,677

Art Unit: 3662

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hayasida et al.

Hayasida et al disclose the claimed system for providing navigational instructions including a communication port 1 for transmitting navigational instructions to a display and inputting destination information from a keyboard, a storage device 3 which stores files of various data for route guidance including map data and photograph data, and a processing means 4 for executing stored programs for route search operations and route guidance information. As the input device comprises touch switches or coordinate entry means for entering destination data, it is clearly anticipated, or in the alternative, obvious, to also enter a start address by the mere touching or entering of start coordinates. Hayasida et al also suggest that the input/output device be of a remote control device. Furthermore, Hayasida et al disclose the placement of the map data and central processing device in an information source center or the like facility outside the vehicle and the provision of transmitters/receivers to communicate information between the vehicle and the remote information source. Lastly, Hayasida et al also suggest storing the data

Application/Control Number: 08/997,677

Art Unit: 3662

Y

dependent upon the orientation of the vehicle with respect to the route; thus, as in Figure 13, the information along path 1 is stored separately from the information along path 2 which is merely the opposite direction of path 1.

The applicants argue that the prior art does not show the use of photograph orientation information or orienting representations of photographs based on a route of travel. This is contrary to the teachings of Hayasida et al and are thus not convincing, see Figure 13 and its relevant portions at col. 12, line 48 – col. 13, line 31.

4. Claims 2-3 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nimura et al.

Nimura et al disclose the claimed navigation apparatus including a data processing controller (6) coupled to means (3) for inputting a departure point and a destination point, coupled to means (8) for outputting navigational instructions, and coupled to storage means for processing route data (11), storage means for storing geographical information (12) and storage means for storing photographic information (13) wherein the execution of the data processing means determines the present location of the vehicle, displays a course information map via the display and informs the driver of characteristic features along the way. The characteristic features include the photographic image data. additionally, separate photographic data is stored based upon the direction of travel; thus, the photographic data includes orientation information.

Applicant argues that Nimura et al fails to suggest or teach the use of photograph orientation information or orienting representations of photographs based on the route of travel. This is not convincing since the photograph data of a predetermined path between points I and Π

Application/Control Number: 08/997,677

Art Unit: 3662

(of Figure 4A) are provided based upon the direction of travel in which the vehicle is moving, along path 1 or along path 2.

Claims 1 and 4-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5. Nimura et al in view of Ayanoglu et al.

Nimura et al teach the subject matter substantially as claimed as previously set forth but fails to show the use of a remote central processing means, i.e., the claimed communication ports. Ayanoglu et al teach that it is known in the art of vehicle navigation to alternatively store a plurality of databases at a central database station as opposed to on-board a vehicle, as well as the route determination at the central station wherein the map data and route information is communicated to the vehicle and thus minimizes the size and cost of the more numerous vehicle navigation device. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nimura et al by moving the majority of data storage and processing to a central base station and thus minimizing the cost and size of a vehicle navigation device.

The applicant argues that Nimura et al fails to suggest or teach the use of photograph orientation information or orienting representations of photographs based on the route of travel. This is not convincing since the photograph data of a predetermined path between points I and II (of Figure 4A) are provided based upon the direction of travel in which the vehicle is moving, along path 1 or along path 2.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 6. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/997,677

Art Unit: 3662

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is (703)-306-4156. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703)-306-4171. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Gregory C. Issing Primary Examiner Jerry

Art Unit 3662

gci

December 13, 1999